

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

Zyrcuits IP LLC, Plaintiff, v. IKEA North America Services, LLC Defendant.	Case No. Patent Case Jury Trial Demanded
--	--

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Zyrcuits IP LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of IKEA North America Services, LLC (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

PARTIES

A. Plaintiff Zyrcuits IP LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 6009 W Parker Rd, Ste 149 - 1013, Plano, TX 75093.

B. Defendant IKEA North America Services, LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Virginia that maintains an established place of business at 420 Alan Wood Road Conshohocken, PA, 19428. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, C T Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75201.

1. JURISDICTION

C. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

D. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

E. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and has multiple offices in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

F. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, has an established place of business in this District at 1 Ikea Way, Round Rock, TX 78665. In addition, Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

G. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 6,671,307 (the “’307 Patent”); (the “Patent-in-Suit”); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

The ’307 Patent

H. The ’307 Patent is entitled “Spread-spectrum high data rate system and method,” and issued 12/30/2003. The application leading to the ’307 Patent was filed on 10/2/2001. A true and correct copy of the ’307 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

I. The ’307 Patent is valid and enforceable.

COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’307 PATENT

J. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

K. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has directly infringed claim 4 of the '307 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe claim 4 of the '307 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '307 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

L. Defendant also has directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, claim 4 of the '307 Patent, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

M. Exhibit 2 includes a claim chart comparing claim 4 to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in this charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '307 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements claim 4 of the '307 Patent.

N. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.

O. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

JURY DEMAND

P. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

2. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '307 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '307 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement and, with respect to the '307 patent including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- E. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
 - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
 - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 26, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jimmy Chong

Jimmy Chong (#4839)

2961 Centerville Road, Suite 350

Wilmington, DE 19808

Telephone: (302) 999-9480

Facsimile: (877) 796-4627

Email: chong@chonglawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Zyrcuits IP LLC